	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/708,450	DOUGHTY, FRANK C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kara E. Geisel,	2877
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Kara E. Geisel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kurt Rauschenbach, attorney of record.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 June 2006	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: none.		
Claims discussed: 3, 12, 25		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed an examiner's amendment to the claims to put the case in condition for allowance. See Office Action.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
	•	
	•	
•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Kara Genal	/Applicant's Representative Si	anatura – if appropriato
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant a Mehresentative Si	gnature – ir appropriate)